Subcortical mapping of calculation processing in the right parietal lobe
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Preservation of calculation processing in brain surgery is crucial for patients’ quality of life. Over the last decade, surgical electrostimulation was used to identify and preserve the cortical areas involved in such processing. Conversely, subcortical connectivity among different areas implicated in this function remains unclear, and the role of surgery in this domain has not been explored so far. The authors present the first 2 cases in which the subcortical functional sites involved in calculation were identified during right parietal lobe surgery. Two patients affected by a glioma located in the right parietal lobe underwent surgery with the aid of MRI neuronavigation. No calculation deficits were detected during preoperative assessment. Cortical and subcortical mapping were performed using a bipolar stimulator. The current intensity was determined by progressively increasing the amplitude by 0.5-mA increments (from a baseline of 1 mA) until a sensorimotor response was elicited. Then, addition and multiplication calculation tasks were administered. Corticectomy was performed according to both the MRI neuronavigation data and the functional findings obtained through cortical mapping. Direct subcortical electrostimulation was repeatedly performed during tumor resection. Subcortical functional sites for multiplication and addition were detected in both patients. Electrostimulation interfered with calculation processing during cortical mapping as well. Functional sites were spared during tumor removal. The postoperative course was uneventful, and calculation processing was preserved. Postoperative MRI showed complete resection of the tumor. The present preliminary study shows for the first time how functional mapping can be a promising method to intraoperatively identify the subcortical functional sites involved in calculation processing. This report therefore supports direct electrical stimulation as a promising tool to improve the current knowledge on calculation processing connectivity.
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METHODS

Neuropsychological Assessment and Surgical Strategy

Because of the location of the tumor in the right parietal lobe, awake intraoperative functional mapping was performed before and during resection, to reduce the risk of unfavorable outcomes on calculation. Patients gave their written informed consent. A full assessment of calculation skills was performed before surgery according to the methods of Delazer et al.,3 assessing different counting abilities, number comprehension, numerical transcoding, calculation, arithmetic reasoning, and conceptual knowl-
edge. Calculation abilities included simple fact retrieval, rule-based processing, and mental and written calculation in all 4 operations. Over the 2 preoperative days, the patients were informed in detail about the intraoperative monitoring procedures, were introduced to the stimulation devices, and were trained to perform the naming and calculating tasks. Furthermore, full pre- and postoperative neuropsychological assessments were performed (Table 1). Intraoperatively, cortical and subcortical mapping was performed using a bipolar stimulator. A functional cortical map was obtained using the method described by Duffau et al. Calculation tasks were administered as previously reported. A bipolar probe with 5-mm-spaced tips delivering a biphasic current was applied to the cortex for a period of 4 seconds (with a pulse frequency of 60 Hz and a single pulse phase duration of 0.3 msec). The intensity of stimulation was determined through progressive increases by 0.5 mA (from a baseline of 1 mA) until a sensorimotor response was obtained. Finally, calculation tasks were administered. Each cortical site (5 × 5 mm) of the entire cortex exposed by the bone flap was tested 3 times. Corticectomy was then performed according to both the MRI neuronavigation data and the functional findings acquired during cortical mapping. Direct subcortical electrostimulation was repeatedly performed during tumor resection at the same current intensity used at the cortical level. Sites were marked with tags as functional for calculation when an error was detected at 3 repeated stimulations. The resection was stopped according to the functional cortical-subcortical boundaries provided by electrostimulation.

Calculation Tasks

All numerical stimuli were presented visually as Arabic numerals on the computer screen, set by the patient at a distance of about 20 cm (Fig. 1). Tasks were presented on a white background and gray frame to reduce visual fatigue. Due to time constraints, only addition and multiplication were studied. Two different types of calculation tasks were administered to the patient: 1-digit addition with 1 operand (for example, 4 + 7, 8 + 6, and 5 + 7) and 1-digit multiplication with 1 operand (for example, 8 × 4, 5 × 6, and 9 × 7).

Each math problem had to be solved within the 4-second time of the cortical stimulation and was presented in the middle of the screen without the equals sign; the answer was oral. The patient was not aware of when the electrical stimulation was performed. The task administration procedure was as follows: a block of 14 addition problems was presented to the patient, in random order, alternating the use of electrostimulation and repeated 3 times. On each stimulation site, the patient performed 3 addition problems, for a total of 21 trials with and 21 trials without stimulation. A block of 15 multiplication problems was then administered (and repeated 3 times) in the same fashion, for a total of 22 tests with and 23 without stimulation. A speech therapist monitored the type of errors.

Results

Patients

The clinical and radiological data are summarized in Table 2. Both patients were right-handed. In both cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Cognitive Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtest of AAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Token test</td>
<td>Language comprehension, severity of language disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition</td>
<td>Repetition phonemes, words, &amp; sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written language</td>
<td>Reading, writing words &amp; sentences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture naming</td>
<td>Naming (word finding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO-80</td>
<td>Naming (word finding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENB</td>
<td>Attention, visuospatial function, executive function, memory, praxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation skills</td>
<td>Counting skills, number comprehension, numerical transcribing, calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life &amp; mood state*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EORTC QLQ-C30</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS</td>
<td>Anxiety &amp; depression scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAT = Aachener Aphasia Test; DO-80 = Test de Dénomination Orale D’images; ENB = Esame Neuropsicologico Breve; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire-C30; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. * Only assessed preoperatively.
preoperative MRI showed a high-grade glioma in the white matter of the right parietal lobe (Figs. 2A and 3A).

**Preoperative and Postoperative Assessment**

Preoperative neuropsychological examination findings were normal in both cases (Table 2). Neither language impairment nor emotional problems were detected before the operation. The assessment of calculation skills showed a normal performance. Visuospatial function, executive function, memory, and praxis as well as general cognitive functions were intact as assessed by specific tests. Both patients showed a normal performance at postoperative neuropsychological assessment.

**Intraoperative Functional Data**

Intraoperative data are summarized in Table 3.

**Case 1**

A sensorimotor response was elicited at 2.0 mA. The functional stimulation mapping resulted in the identification of the following cortical and subcortical sites in the parietal lobe: the primary sensorimotor area (Tag 1); 1 cortical site was involved in addition, located within the anterior part of the inferior parietal lobule (IPL) cortex (Tag +); 1 cortical site was involved in multiplication, located within the anterior part of the IPL cortex (Tag x); 2 subcortical sites were involved in multiplication, all located at the anterior part of the resection cavity within the superior parietal lobule (SPL) white matter (Tags x); and 1 subcortical site was involved in addition, located at the posterior part of the resection cavity within the SPL white matter (Tag +) (Fig. 2). No site was positive for both addition and multiplication.

**Case 2**

A sensorimotor response was elicited at 2.5 mA. The functional stimulation mapping resulted in the identification of the following cortical and subcortical sites in the parietal lobe: the primary sensorimotor areas (Tags 1–3); 1 cortical site was involved in multiplication, located within the anterior part of the SPL cortex (Tag x); 1 cortical site was involved in addition, located within the anterior part of the SPL cortex (not shown); 2 subcortical sites were involved in multiplication and were located in the posterior part of the resection cavity within the SPL white matter (Tags x); and 1 subcortical site was involved in addition, located in the posterior part of the resection cavity within the SPL white matter (Tag +) (Fig. 3). No site was positive for both addition and multiplication.

**Postoperative Course**

The postoperative course was uneventful, and calculation processing was preserved in both patients. The patients underwent postoperative CT scanning (Fig. 2D) and MRI (Fig. 3D) (MRI was not performed in Case 1 because of the patient’s claustrophobia), showing the complete removal of the tumor.

**TABLE 2. Clinical findings in the patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation</th>
<th>Preop Calculation Deficit</th>
<th>Tumor Location</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Postop Calculation Deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>57, M</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Right parietal</td>
<td>High-grade glioma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>67, F</td>
<td>Left-hand paresis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Right parietal</td>
<td>High-grade glioma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2. Case 1.** A: Preoperative T1-weighted Gd-enhanced MR image showing a tumor in the right parietal lobe. B and C: Intraoperative views showing cortical (B) and subcortical (C) mapping data. D: Postoperative CT scan (MRI was not performed because of the patient’s claustrophobia). Figure is available in color online only.

**FIG. 3. Case 2.** A: Preoperative T1-weighted Gd-enhanced MR image showing a tumor in the right parietal lobe. B and C: Intraoperative views showing cortical (B) and subcortical (C) mapping data. D: Postoperative T1-weighted Gd-enhanced MR image. Figure is available in color online only.
is concerned, we recently confirmed previous neuroimaging1,2,10,12 and transcranial magnetic stimulation 14 data, processing.4 Conversely, no surgical data have been reported suggesting a role of the right cortex in calculation processing.6 Calculation processing may be monitored during testing different cognitive functions during awake surgery in different areas, such as left frontal, temporal, and parietal cortices. 5,11,13 As far as the right hemisphere and parietal cortices. 5,11,13,14 shows, for the first time, how subcortical mapping can be efficacious of the present approach. However, the present study shows, for the first time, how subcortical mapping can be a promising method to identify intraoperatively the subcortical functional sites involved in calculation processing. In neither case was a subcortical deficit detected in the absence of a cortical stimulation–related deficit. An anatomical relationship between cortical– and subcortical areas involved in multiplication (Fig. 3B and C), all within the SPL. Finally, this small cohort of patients cannot provide sufficient data on the clinical efficacy of the present approach. However, the present study shows, for the first time, how subcortical mapping can be a promising method to identify intraoperatively the subcortical functional sites involved in calculation processing. This report therefore further supports direct electrical stimulation as a promising tool to improve the current knowledge on calculation processing connectivity.

Discussion

Awake subcortical direct stimulation has become the gold-standard technique to assist surgery in eloquent areas when cognitive functions might be involved. Over the last decade different tasks have been proven effective in testing different cognitive functions during awake surgery.9 Calculation processing may be monitored during surgery in different areas, such as left frontal, temporal, and parietal cortices. 5,11,13 As far as the right hemisphere is concerned, we recently confirmed previous neuroimaging1,2,10,12 and transcranial magnetic stimulation14 data, suggesting a role of the right cortex in calculation processing.4 Conversely, no surgical data have been reported in the literature on subcortical mapping of right parietal white matter so far. In our 2-case series, we interfered with calculation processing by performing electrostimulation in the white matter of the right parietal lobe during addition and multiplication tasks. To the best of our knowledge, such interference has never been reported before. In both patients we detected errors in addition and multiplication processing at both cortical and subcortical mapping. In neither case was a subcortical deficit detected in the absence of a cortical stimulation–related deficit. An anatomical relationship between cortical– and subcortical deficit–related sites was observed in the patient in Case 2, in whom we found a close connection between the cortical and subcortical areas involved in multiplication (Fig. 3B and C), all within the SPL. Finally, this small cohort of patients cannot provide sufficient data on the clinical efficacy of the present approach. However, the present study shows, for the first time, how subcortical mapping can be a promising method to identify intraoperatively the subcortical functional sites involved in calculation processing. This report therefore further supports direct electrical stimulation as a promising tool to improve the current knowledge on calculation processing connectivity.
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TABLE 3. Intraoperative mapping data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Multiplication</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Multiplication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (IPL)</td>
<td>1 (IPL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (SPL)</td>
<td>1 (SPL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SM = sensorimotor.